

- Sunday Aft - February 16, 2014

Welcome to The Common Game

More Players, More Play, Better Bridge!

[?](#) Explanation of report features

Download hands in PDF or PBN format (Right click and choose "Save Link As..." in Firefox or "Save Target As..." in IE)

Jump directly to board [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [11](#) [12](#) [13](#) [14](#) [15](#) [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) [19](#) [20](#) [21](#) [22](#) [23](#)
[24](#) [25](#) [26](#) [27](#) [28](#) [29](#) [30](#)

Field strength: Mean: 90
 MP Geomean: 70 MP
 (based on 34 players, 4 non
 ACBL players ignored)

Show faces.

★ ★ ★ MASTERPOINT WINNERS ★ ★ ★

Partnership	Pct	Rank	MP
Judy Noble - Melanie Munnell	65.93	1st OA	1.72
Craig Briney - Mary Ann Briney	63.20	2nd OA	1.29
Fred Seipp - Sharon Seagraves	59.71	1st OC	1.23
Barbara Smith - Carla Joiner	57.84	2nd OC	0.92
Beth Castleberry - Josephine Basel	56.33	4th OB	0.65
Denys Slater Jr - Jane Slater	55.93	3rd OC	0.69
Arlene Sanders - Janice Trombello	54.39	4th OC	0.52
Belinda Stuart - Karen Bedell	52.30	5th OC	0.39
Genny Lemeshev - Yuri Lemeshev	51.11	4th SB	0.27
Carole Cohen - Ellen Krane	45.90	3rd SC	0.31

EVENT>Unit Chmp 299 Prs			SESSION>Sunday Aft			SECTION> B N-S					

DATE>February 16, 2014			CLUB NO.>260117			02/16/2014 16:43					

DIR> Vernon Hodge			RATING>Unit Championship, CLUB>260117			MOVEMENT>MITCHELL					

AVE> 84.0 TOP> 7			MP LIMITS>300/200/100			CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D					

PAIRS IN STRAT A=10/B=9/C=7			,---,-----,-----								

			Overall			Secti					
on		Final									
No	Name		Name	Flt	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk-C	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk	
-C	Score	Pct	Awards								

1	Denys Slater Jr		Jane Slater	C	6	5	3		3	2	1
	93.97	55.93	0.69(OC)								
2	Martha Ward		Jerry McClurg	C
	70.69	42.08									
3	Craig Briney		Mary Ann Briney	A	2	.	.		2	.	.
	106.17	63.20	1.29(OA)								
4	Larica Taylor		Randy Patterson	C
	76.55	45.57									
5	Carole Cohen		Ellen Krane	C	3
	77.12	45.90	0.31(SC)								
6	Judy Noble		Melanie Munnell	B	1	1	.		1	1	.
	110.76	65.93	1.72(OA)								
7	Janice Trombello		Arlene Sanders	C	.	.	4		4	3	2
	91.37	54.39	0.52(OC)								
8	Genny Lemeshev		Yuri Lemeshev	B	4	.
	85.87	51.11	0.27(SB)								
9	Jill Hartel		John Hartel	C
	69.89	41.60									
10	Arnie Stokol		Barbara Stokol	C
	59.41	35.36									
				Totals							
841.80											

EVENT>Unit Chmp 299 Prs			SESSION>Sunday Aft			SECTION> B E-W					

AVE> 84.0 TOP> 7			MP LIMITS>300/200/100			CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D					

PAIRS IN STRAT A=10/B=9/C=7			,---,-----,-----								

			Overall			Secti					

on	Final	Name	Flt	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk-C	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk	
No	Name	Name								
-C	Score	Pct	Awards							
1	Karen Bedell	Belinda Stuart	C	.	.	5		4	4	3
	87.87 52.30 0.39(OC)									
2	Denise Strueber	John Ellis	B
	79.83 47.52									
3	Jenny Haynes	Carol Lyon	C
	64.31 38.28									
4	Selwyn Josset	Louella Josset	C
	85.95 51.16									
5	Josephine Basel	Beth Castleberry	B	5	4	.		3	3	.
	94.64 56.33 0.65(OB)									
6	Deborah D'Ambrogi	David Noteware	C
	77.31 46.02									
7	Carla Joiner	Barbara Smith	C	4	3	2		2	2	2
	97.17 57.84 0.92(OC)									
8	Fred Seipp	Sharon Seagraves	C	3	2	1		1	1	1
	100.32 59.71 1.23(OC)									
10	Jerolyn Lehman	Julie Griffith	C
	67.57 40.22									
			Totals							
	754.97									

1 ♠AKJ82 Dlr: North
 ♥- Vul: None
 ♦A43
 ♣AT752

♠Q4 ♠T765
 ♥983 ♥AQJT2
 ♦JT852 ♦97
 ♣J83 ♣KQ

 ♠93
 ♥K7654
 ♦KQ6
 ♣964

16 Double Dummy Makes
 4 12 NS: 5♣ 2♦ 3♠ 2NT ♥6
 8 EW: ♣2 ♦5 ♥6 ♠3 NT4

LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1
 Par: +400 5♣-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
420		6.93	0.07	4♠ N	♥Q B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
150		5.79	1.21	3♣ N +2	♦7 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
130		4.64	2.36	3♣ N +1	♥J B6-Noble-Munnell vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
120		3.50	3.50	2N S	♥9 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
110		2.36	4.64	2♠ N	♥Q B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B7-Joiner-Smith
100		1.21	5.79	2♥ E -2	♠9 B8-Lemeshev-Lemeshev vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
50		0.07	6.93	4♠ N -1	♥A B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B1-Bedell-Stuart



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	1♠	2♥	Pass
Pass	Dbl	All pass	

The Bidding:

North has a balancing decision after East's 2♥ overcall of their 1♠ opening is passed around. North has a fine offensive hand with two five-card suits and a void. North's hand, with four quick tricks, will play well on defense. What decision did North make at your table?

A rebid of 3♣ does little to consult partner on the best contract. A balancing double offers diamonds and clubs as potential contracts, as well as giving partner a chance to make a belated spade raise. If partner has a heart stack and chooses to convert the balancing double to penalty, partner will not be disappointed with North's contributions to the defense. A balancing double seems best.

South hardly has a trump stack. The texture of South's 5-card heart suit leaves a lot to be desired. However, South does not have attractive alternatives. Bidding a minor at the 3-level could wind up playing in a 3-3 fit. Some pairs play a convention in this situation to help find the best minor suit fit. Even so, with 3-card support for both minors, competing to the 3-level in a minor is risky. Competing to 2♠ is a possibility. The spade fit is at least 5-2. South has two helpful diamond cards. There should be a reasonable chance to make 2♠. Converting the double for penalty is a possibility as well. Mel's suggests not converting the double. On this board, I would be swayed by the diamond honors and expect to force declarer in either hearts or diamonds. While a 2♠ bid is completely reasonable, my preference would be to convert the double for penalty.

Click for a poll on South's action over partner's reopening double. The poll will be available shortly after 5:00 p.m. EST.

The Lead:

On lead against 2♥ doubled, South has three good options. A trump lead will reduce dummy's ruffing power, potentially leaving declarer with more diamond and/or spade losers. A spade lead may help establish partner's spades and force declarer to ruff in the long hand, helping South gain trump control. A diamond lead may also serve to force declarer and helps establish the diamond suit. Partner should have 3 or more diamonds for their balancing double. My preference would be lead the ♦King, top of a sequence, or ♦Queen if playing leads.

The Play:


The defense has several routes to a two-trick set of 2♥ doubled. The defense has 5 quick tricks in spades and diamonds, and will eventually score the ♥King. A 7th trick can be established for the defense by preventing declarer from ruffing two spades in dummy, or by positioning South to ruff a club. On a spade lead and continuation,

South can discard clubs and later put partner in for a club ruff. If a diamond is led, a spade switch and continuation can allow South to discard clubs and later ruff a club.

If South leads a trump or switches to a trump after a diamond lead, declarer will have quite a dilemma. Declarer can picture the likely spade doubleton in South's hand. Declarer would like to win the initial heart lead cheaply with the ♥8 (or 9) in dummy and get rid of the ♥2 from hand. The danger is that when attempting to ruff two spades in dummy, South will ruff in front of dummy forcing the remaining high spot card, leaving only the ♥3 in dummy. Declarer would be unable to safely obtain their second spade ruff. So the play of the ♥3 is forced. Declarer will need to play spades to shorten dummy for the ruffs. North can put South in with a diamond to continue shortening dummy. South must take care not to get end played in hearts.

D	N dloeb	W	N	E	S
1	♠ AKJ82 ♥ ♦ A43 ♣ A10752	1♠ 2♥ P P X P P P			
W dloeb ♠ Q4 ♥ 983 ♦ J10852 ♣ J83		E dloeb ♠ 10765 ♥ AQJ102 ♦ 97 ♣ KQ			
	S dloeb ♠ 93 ♥ K7654 ♦ KQ6 ♣ 964				2♥x E NS: 0 EW: 0

Rewind
Previous
Next
Options
GIB



2

♠T
♥A732
♦AQT6
♣KT97

Dlr: East
Vul: N-S

♠A543 ♠QJ7
♥985 ♥KT6
♦K98 ♦J753
♣643 ♣J85
♠K9862
♥QJ4
♦42
♣AQ2

13 Double Dummy Makes

7 8 NS: 4♣ 3♦ 5♥ 4♠ 4NT

12 EW: ♠2 ♦4 ♥2 ♠3 NT3

LoTT: 15 - 14 = +1

Par: +650 5♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
690		6.93	0.07	3N N +3	♦3 B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
660		5.79	1.21	3N N +2	♦3 B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
600		4.64	2.36	3N S	♥9 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B6-D'Ambrogio-Noteware
210		3.50	3.50	2N N +3	♦5 B6-Noble-Munnell vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
170		2.36	4.64	2♥ N +2	♦3 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
120		1.21	5.79	2N N	♥2 B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B7-Joiner-Smith
100		0.07	6.93	4♥ S -1	♦8 B8-Lemeshev-Lemeshev vs B5-Basel-Castleberry



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
		Pass	1♠
Pass	2♣	Pass	2NT
Pass	3NT	All pass	

The Lead:

West does not have an attractive lead against 3NT. West knows dummy does not have spade support and does not have a 5-card red suit. So dummy will have game forcing values and will either have a club suit or a balanced hand. Declarer should not have a 4-card red suit on this auction and should not have a 6-card spade suit. West would like to hit partner's suit with their opening lead, but must guess whether partner's suit is hearts, or diamonds, or, a less likely, clubs.

My preference would be to lead a diamond because I can help establish the diamond suit. The downside of the diamond lead is that it can easily blow a trick for the defense.

Click for a poll on West's lead against 3NT. The poll will be available shortly after 5:00 p.m. EST.

The Play:

Declarer has 5 quick tricks in a notrump contract.. A fourth club trick can be developed on a 3-3, the Jack dropping, or a finesse. A low club to the Queen will likely get a true count from the defenders. The heart texture is not strong enough to develop tricks with a pushing finesse against the King. It is better to take a lobbing finesse towards the ♥Queen-Jack. The lobbing finesse creates two additional heart tricks when the ♥King is in East's hand. If hearts break 4-2, declarer will need two entries to dummy for the lobbing finesses, and another entry to dummy to cash the long club, and the ♥Ace.

On the lead of the ♦8, declarer has a choice. If the 8 is a 4th best lead, declarer can win three diamond tricks by finessing against the Jack and King. If the lead is from Jack third, declarer has only one diamond entry. If the lead is from King third, declarer has two diamond entries to dummy. Finessing against the King and Jack seems right. It gives a chances for three diamond tricks and acts as a play in diamonds.

If the play begins with a diamond to the Jack, a diamond continuation, and a lobbing finesse in hearts, declarer can give East a difficult discard decision. East cannot discard a heart or a diamond with out giving up a trick. So East must unguard the ♠Queen-Jack.

With the hearts and clubs breaking 3-3, declarers will have no trouble taking nine tricks. With the fortuitous lie of the spades, a double dummy line of play would be to attack spades for an overtrick.

3

♠QT63
♥72
♦83
♣K9765

Dlr: South
Vul: E-W

♠52 ♠97
♥KQ63 ♥A9
♦AT6 ♦KJ9742
♣AJ43 ♣QT8

♠AKJ84
♥JT854
♦Q5
♣2

5 Double Dummy Makes

14 10 NS: 2♠ ♣4 ♦2 ♥5 NT3

11 EW: 2♣ 4♦ 1♥ 2NT ♠5

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0

Par: -130 4♦-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
140	6.36	0.64	3♠ S	♠2	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B6-D'Ambrogio-Noteware
140	6.36	0.64	2♠ S +1	♦6	B6-Noble-Munnell vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
110	4.64	2.36	2♠ S	♦A	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
100	3.50	3.50	4♦ E -1	♠K	B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
50	2.36	4.64	2♠ S -1	♥3	B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
110	1.21	5.79	3♦ E	♠A	B5-Cohen-Krane vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
130	0.07	6.93	4♦ E	♠A	B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B7-Joiner-Smith



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
			1♠
Dbl	3♠ ¹	4♦	All pass
1. weak			

The Bidding:

With two five-card majors and 11 HCP, South's hand meets the for an opener. Over 1♠, West has the values and shape to make a takeout double. Over a Take Out Double, many partnerships play a 2NT as an invitational or better raise. This leave 3♠ free to use as a weak raise. With that understanding, North can make a bid of 3♠, showing 4-card or better support and a weak hand.

North's preemptive raise to 3♠ puts a lot of pressure on East. After a jump bid by your RHO, the mandates a 10 second pause to avoid communicating unauthorized information to partner with a quick action, and to give you and your LHO time to contemplate their action.

East should use the 10-second waiting period to assess the risks and rewards of competing over 3♠. West will have at least three diamonds for their takeout double, or West will have a hand too strong to overcall 2♣ or 2♥. With 10 HCP and a 6-card diamond suit, 4♦ will have some play. If partner's hand was too strong to overcall, a heart game or a club game are likely. If 3♠ makes, few matchpoints will be available to East-West. It is not clear that 3♠ down 1, doubled or not, will be a good board for East-West. Bidding 4♦ has a couple of ways to win: 4♦ may make, or 4♦ down 1 may be a good sacrifice against 3♠. 4♦ loses when it is doubled and set, when it down 2 for -200, and when both 4♦ and 3♠ are going down. Another way for 4♦ to win or lose is when partner raised to 5♦. Making 5♦ should be worth a lot of matchpoints. Down 1 is unlikely to score well.

Forcing opponents to make difficult decisions like this one is why playing a jump to 3 of a major over a takeout double as a preemptive raise is so popular. My guess with East's hand would be that more matchpoints are available by competing than by passing; and I would bid 4♦ when the 10 second waiting period expired.

West may be tempted to bid 5♦. The small doubleton spade holding is a big negative. West only has a of 7, and has a 3-card diamond suit. The 15 Support Points do not make up for the hand's negatives. A pass seems prudent.

[Click here](#) for a on West's action over 4♦. The poll will be available shortly after 5:00 p.m. EST.

The Play:

To prevent declarer from making an overtrick in a 4♦ contract, the defense must take their spade tricks immediately. If South cashes the ♠Ace and King and switches to their singleton club, declarer may well do down. Declarer has a potential loser in clubs and a potential loser

in diamonds. With a nine-card trump fit, playing for the Queen to drop has a 58% chance of success. The club finesse has a 50% chance of success. Declarer would like to combine their chances make the contract when either the ♣King is onside or the ♦Queen drops. Taking the club finesse at tricks 3 costs only when South has a singleton club and North has the King. So taking the finesses is the percentage play.

On this board, the club finesse loses and North can set 4♦ by giving partner a ruff. The ♣2 cannot be from a doubleton. So declare has either a singleton club or a 3-card club suit. If declarer had a singleton, they would not be likely to duck the club lead. So North should continue clubs, giving partner a ruff, even if declarer false cards by dropping the Queen.

3 D	N dloeb	W	N	E	S
	♠ Q1063 ♥ 72 ♦ 83 ♣ K9765	X	3♠	4♦	P
W dloeb		P	P		
♠ 52 ♥ KQ63 ♦ A106 ♣ AJ43	E dloeb				
	♠ 97 ♥ A9 ♦ KJ9742 ♣ Q108				
	S dloeb				
	♠ AKJ84 ♥ J10854 ♦ Q5 ♣ 2				
		4♦ E			NS: 0 EW: 0

Rewind
Previous
Next
Options
GIB

4

♠KT
♥Q986
♦JT75
♣A54

Dlr: West
Vul: Both

♠J943 ♠Q5
♥J732 ♥K54
♦A63 ♦9842
♣93 ♣KQ76

♠A8762
♥AT
♦KQ
♣JT82

10 Double Dummy Makes

6 10 NS: 3♣ 3♦ 2/3♥ 3♠ 3NT

14 EW: ♣4 ♦4 ♥4 ♠4 NT4

LoTT: 13 - 14 = -1

Par: +600 3NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
630		6.93	0.07	3N S +1	♥2 B1-Slater Jr-Slater
r vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
200		5.79	1.21	1N W -2	♠K B5-Cohen-Krane vs
B7-Joiner-Smith					
150		4.07	2.93	1N N +2	♣6 B2-Ward-McClung vs
B2-Strueber-Ellis					
150		4.07	2.93	1N N +2	♣6 B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware					
130		2.36	4.64	2♣ S +2	♦A B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B4-Josset-Josset					
120		1.21	5.79	2N N	♦9 B7-Trombello-Sande
rs vs B1-Bedell-Stuart					
100		0.07	6.93	1N N -1	♣6 B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves					



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
Pass	Pass	Pass	1♠
Pass	1NT	Pass	2♣
Pass	2♠	All pass	

The Bidding:

At most tables, the bidding will pass around to South in 4th seat. South has a full opening 1♠ bid. North will respond 1NT. With a semi-balanced hand with the majority of the hand's strength in the red doubletons, some may choose to pass 1NT. A pass is a reasonable matchpoint gamble. Partner is a passed hand and could not support spades. There is no compelling reason to believe a club contract will score better than 1NT. The biggest risk of passing 1NT is that partner has a good red suit and is planning to offer 2♦ or 2♥ as a final contract. Your strong doubletons would provide good support. Since partner did not open a , it is less likely that playing in a red suit will score better than 1NT.

At most tables, North will choose to bid rebid 2♣, showing 5+ spades and 4+ clubs. Now North will have to decide between passing 2♣, potentially a 4-3 fit, or giving a preference to 2♠, a 5-2 fit (or better). With King doubleton support, a preference to 2♠ is very reasonable.

The Lead:


West has a difficult lead against 2♠. Holding trump length, a forcing lead is often best, but a lead from Jack empty fourth could easily blow a trick, and does not do much to wrest trump control from declarer. Leading away from the ♦Ace is unattractive. A trump lead could blow a trick, and a club lead may well finesse partner.

For a poll on West's opening lead against 2♠, click . The poll will be published shortly after 5 p.m. EST.

The Play:

In 2♠, Declarer has 4 quick tricks. If trumps split 3-3, declarer will have just one trump loser. With a 4-2 break, two trump losers are likely. Declarer's heart loser may be avoided if the defense breaks the heart suit. Declarer has one diamond loser. After knocking out the ♦Ace, three diamond winners are established. Declarer should note the blockage in the diamond suit, and manage entries accordingly. There are two potential losers in the club suit. Declarer would prefer that

East break the club suit.. Declarer will knock out the ♦Ace, and pitch losers on dummy's diamonds.

D	4	N dloeb	W	N	E	S
		♠ K10	P	P	P	1♠
		♥ Q986	P	1NT	P	2♣
		♦ J1075	P	2♠	P	P
		♣ A54	P			
W dloeb		E dloeb				
♠ J943		♠ Q5				
♥ J732		♥ K54				
♦ A63		♦ 9842				
♣ 93		♣ KQ76				
		S dloeb				
		♠ A8762				
		♥ A10				
		♦ KQ				
		♣ J1082		2♠ S NS: 0 EW: 0		
				<input type="button" value="Rewind"/> <input type="button" value="Previous"/> <input type="button" value="Next"/> <input type="button" value="Options"/> <input type="button" value="GIB"/> 		

5

♠K74 Dlr: North
♥AKQ96 Vul: N-S
♦AQT
♣KQ

♠Q6532 ♠98
♥JT52 ♥843
♦3 ♦KJ98754
♣942 ♣7

♠AJT
♥7
♦62
♣AJT8653

23 Double Dummy Makes
3 4 NS: 7♣ 2/3♦ 6♥ 5♠ 7NT
10 EW: ♣0 ♦4 ♥1 ♠2 NT0

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
Par: +2220 7NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
2140		6.93	0.07	7♣ N	♦K B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
1470		4.64	2.36	6N S +1	♠3 B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
1470		4.64	2.36	6N S +1	♥J B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
1470		4.64	2.36	6N N +1	♦8 B2-Ward-McClung vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
1430		2.36	4.64	6♥ N	♦5 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B7-Joiner-Smith
1370		1.21	5.79	6♣ N	♦9 B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B4-Josset-Josset
640		0.07	6.93	5♣ S +2	♦3 B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	2♣	3♦	Pass ¹
Pass	3NT	Pass	6NT
All pass			

1. Game forcing

The Bidding:

With 23 HCP and a nice 5-card heart suit, North will open a strong, artificial and forcing 2♣. Over partner's anticipated 2♦ waiting bid, North has a choice between rebidding 2NT showing a strong balanced hand, or 2♥ showing a strong hand with five or more hearts. My preference would be to bid 2NT. In addition to 2NT well describing North's hand, it protects North's ♠King in a notrump contract.

On this board with favorable vulnerability, East will not allow North-South much bidding space to explore the best game or slam. East will preempt in diamonds. Given the broken suit, a 3♦ preempt looks about right.

South's 10 HCP hand with a 7-card club suit passes the for an opening hand. Opposite a 2♣ opener, slam potential is high. Has your partnership discussed how to handle interference after a ? Many play a double shows a weak hand, and a pass or bid establishes a . Using that treatment, I prefer a pass. An immediate 3♣ bid may make it difficult for partner to describe their hand. In particular, South is interested in knowing whether partner has a diamond stopper.

When 3♦ is passed around to North, North has the same options they contemplated earlier except one-level higher. North-South can destroy 3♦, but it is common to play a double by the 2♣ opener as takeout oriented. At this vulnerability, a 3NT rebid seems like the percentage action.

Continuations from here should be the same as the partnership uses after they overcall 3NT after a 3-level preempt. Most play 4♣ is Stayman, 4♦ and 4♥ are transfers, and 5♣ is . This leaves 4♠ to show clubs or some minor suit slam try. If you partnership has not discussed continuations on this auction, it is best to bid slam directly. South knows the partnership has 32+ HCP. With two bullets and a 7-card suit, a jump to 6♣ or 6NT is reasonable. However, if partner has the missing club honors you may well have all the tricks.

Partnerships playing Gerber can check for Aces, and check for Kings upon learning they have all the Aces. Missing a King, the bidding might settle in 6NT. Partnerships playing 4♠ as a relay, might use the relay and then bid 6♣ to express interest in a grand. With the ♣King-Queen, North can cue bid 6♦, showing first round diamond control. Now when South shows their spade control, North may try 7NT. Bidding 6NT should score plenty of matchpoints.

The Play:

It will take declarer a few moments to count up their winners. If playing in a notrump contract, declarer can claim immediately. Playing a suit contract, declarer will have to wait to make sure third hand does not ruff the opening lead before claiming all the tricks.

6 ♠QJ4 Dlr: East
 ♥K876 Vul: E-W
 ♦K97
 ♣K42

♠AK ♠87
 ♥9432 ♥AJT5
 ♦8654 ♦AQJT2
 ♣T73 ♣Q6
 ♠T96532
 ♥Q
 ♦3
 ♣AJ985

12 Double Dummy Makes
 7 14 NS: 3♣ 3♠ ♦3 ♥3 NT5
 7 EW: 4♦ 4♥ 2NT ♣4 ♠4

LoTT: 19 - 18 = +1

Par: -100 4♠*-NS/4♣*-NS-1

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
140		6.93	0.07	3♠ S	♦6 B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B4-Josset-Josset					
110		5.79	1.21	2♠ S	♦5 B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware					
50		3.50	3.50	4♠ S -1	♦8 B7-Trombello-Sande
rs vs B1-Bedell-Stuart					
50		3.50	3.50	4♠ S -1	♦4 B2-Ward-McClurg vs
B2-Strueber-Ellis					
50		3.50	3.50	3♠ S -1	♦9 B1-Slater Jr-Slate
r vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
130		1.21	5.79	2♦ E +2	♠5 B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves					
150		0.07	6.93	1N W +2	♥6 B5-Cohen-Krane vs
B7-Joiner-Smith					

7 ♠T97 Dlr: South
 ♥A743 Vul: Both
 ♦863
 ♣764

♠A ♠4
 ♥KQT6 ♥J852
 ♦AJT9 ♦KQ742
 ♣QJT8 ♣932
 ♠KQJ86532
 ♥9
 ♦5
 ♣AK5

4 Double Dummy Makes
 17 6 NS: 4♠ ♣4 ♦3 ♥3 NT4
 13 EW: 3♣ 3♦ 4♥ ♠3 NT6

LoTT: 19 - 20 = -1

Par: +200 5♥*-EW-1

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
790		6.93	0.07	4♠* S	♠A B6-Noble-Munnell v
s B8-Seipp-Seagraves					
620		4.07	2.93	4♠ S	♥6 B7-Trombello-Sande
rs vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
620		4.07	2.93	4♠ S	♦A B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B5-Basel-Castleberry					
620		4.07	2.93	4♠ S	♣Q B3-Briney-Briney v
s B3-Haynes-Lyon					
620		4.07	2.93	4♠ S	♣Q B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B2-Strueber-Ellis					
130		1.21	5.79	3♦ E +1	♠A B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B7-Joiner-Smith					
200		0.07	6.93	5♠* S -1	♦A B2-Ward-McClurg vs
B1-Bedell-Stuart					

8 ♠J72 Dlr: West
 ♥Q4 Vul: None
 ♦J7653
 ♣Q85

♠AT543 ♠Q8
 ♥986 ♥AJ53
 ♦KQ4 ♦9
 ♣T9 ♣AKJ762
 ♠K96
 ♥KT72
 ♦AT82
 ♣43

Double Dummy Makes

6
 9 15 NS: ♣3 ♦6 ♥3/4 ♠4 NT3
 10 EW: 4♣ 1/-♦ 3♥ 3♠ 3NT
 ♦7/6

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
 Par: -400 3NT-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	110	6.36	0.64	2♣ E +1	♥2 B3-Briney-Briney v
s B3-Haynes-Lyon					
	110	6.36	0.64	2♣ E +1	♣4 B2-Ward-McClurg vs
B1-Bedell-Stuart					
	130	3.50	3.50	2♣ E +2	♥2 B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B5-Basel-Castleberry					
	130	3.50	3.50	2♣ E +2	♦A B7-Trombello-Sande
rs vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
	130	3.50	3.50	2♣ E +2	♦2 B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B7-Joiner-Smith					
	140	1.21	5.79	3♠ W	♦3 B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B2-Strueber-Ellis					
	150	0.07	6.93	2♣ E +3	♥2 B6-Noble-Munnell v
s B8-Seipp-Seagraves					

9 ♠KT62 Dlr: North
 ♥KQ6 Vul: E-W
 ♦T63
 ♣A62

♠QJ3 ♠A987
 ♥AJ2 ♥87543
 ♦QJ54 ♦K82
 ♣QJ9 ♣8
 ♠54
 ♥T9
 ♦A97
 ♣KT7543

Double Dummy Makes

12
 14 7 NS: 1♣ ♦5 ♥5 ♠6/5 NT6
 7 EW: 1/2♦ 2♥ 1♠ 1NT ♣5

LoTT: 15 - 17 = -2
 Par: -110 2♥-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	300	6.93	0.07	3N W -3	♣2 B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B2-Strueber-Ellis					
	110	5.79	1.21	3♣ S	♥J B6-Noble-Munnell v
s B8-Seipp-Seagraves					
	100	4.64	2.36	2♥ E -1	♦A B7-Trombello-Sande
rs vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
	90	3.50	3.50	2♣ N	♥4 B3-Briney-Briney v
s B3-Haynes-Lyon					
	110	1.79	5.21	2♥ E	♣5 B2-Ward-McClurg vs
B1-Bedell-Stuart					
	110	1.79	5.21	2♥ E	♣5 B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B5-Basel-Castleberry					
	120	0.07	6.93	2N W	♥K B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B7-Joiner-Smith					

10 ♠AT6 Dlr: East
♥A985 Vul: Both
♦T2
♣7653

♠Q3 ♠K98752
♥QT32 ♥7
♦J763 ♦AQ4
♣KT8 ♣A92
♠J4
♥KJ64
♦K985
♣QJ4

Double Dummy Makes

8 NS: 1♥ ♣6 ♦5 ♠4/5 NT5
8 13 EW: 1/-♠ 2♦ 2♠ 1NT ♣7/6
11 ♥6

LoTT: 15 - 16 = -1

Par: -110 2♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100	6.93	0.07	3♠ E -1	♠J	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
110	5.21	1.79	2♠ E	♥K	B1-Slater Jr-Slate r vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
110	5.21	1.79	2♠ E	♠Q	B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
140	1.79	5.21	3♠ E	♥4	B4-Taylor-Patterson n vs B4-Josset-Josset
140	1.79	5.21	2♠ E +1	♥4	B2-Ward-McClurg vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
140	1.79	5.21	2♠ E +1	♥4	B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
140	1.79	5.21	2♠ E +1	♣4	B3-Briney-Briney vs B2-Strueber-Ellis

11 ♠AT4 Dlr: South
♥7 Vul: None
♦A987632
♣J6

♠Q95 ♠K832
♥943 ♥KT86
♦4 ♦KT5
♣T98532 ♣Q4
♠J76
♥AQJ52
♦QJ
♣AK7

Double Dummy Makes

9 NS: 1♣ 5♦ 2/3♥ 2♠ 3NT
2 11 EW: ♣6 ♦1 ♥5/4 ♠5 NT4
18

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: +400 3NT-NS/5♦-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
430	5.79	1.21	3N S +1	♠T	B1-Slater Jr-Slate r vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
430	5.79	1.21	3N S +1	♠T	B4-Taylor-Patterson n vs B4-Josset-Josset
430	5.79	1.21	3N S +1	♠T	B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
400	2.93	4.07	3N N	♠Q	B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
400	2.93	4.07	5♦ N	♠2	B2-Ward-McClurg vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
170	1.21	5.79	2♦ N +4	♠2	B3-Briney-Briney vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
50	0.07	6.93	5♦ N -1	♠Q	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B1-Bedell-Stuart

12 ♠AQJ95 Dlr: West
♥AQ643 Vul: N-S
♦94
♣7

♠KT74 ♠832
♥7 ♥KT
♦AQJ62 ♦875
♣985 ♣AJ643

♠6
♥J9852
♦KT3
♣KQT2

Double Dummy Makes

13 NS: 3♥ 2/♠
10 8 ♣6 ♦6/5 ♠8/6 NT6
9 EW: 1♣ 1♦ 1NT ♥4 ♠5

LoTT: 16 - 18 = -2

Par: +140 3♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
680	6.93	0.07	4♥ N +2	♣J	B2-Ward-McClung vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
620	5.21	1.79	4♥ N	♦8	B3-Briney-Briney vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
620	5.21	1.79	4♥ N	♣4	B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
140	3.50	3.50	3♥ S	♣9	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
100	1.21	5.79	4♥ S -1	♥7	B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
100	1.21	5.79	4♥ S -1	♣9	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B4-Josset-Josset
100	1.21	5.79	4♥ S -1	♣9	B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware

13 ♠KT5 Dlr: North
♥976 Vul: Both
♦32
♣KJ863

♠A972 ♠QJ8643
♥JT4 ♥852
♦QJ54 ♦8
♣AT ♣742

♠-
♥AKQ3
♦AKT976
♣Q95

Double Dummy Makes

7 NS: 5♣ 4♦ 5♥ 1NT ♠6
12 3 EW: 1♠ ♣2 ♦3 ♥2 NT3
18

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0

Par: +650 5♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
300	6.36	0.64	3♠ E -3	♥A	B3-Briney-Briney vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
300	6.36	0.64	3♠ E -3	♦K	B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B7-Joiner-Smith
200	4.64	2.36	3♠ E -2	♦A	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
130	1.79	5.21	4♦ S	♥J	B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
130	1.79	5.21	3♦ S +1	♣A	B5-Cohen-Krane vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
130	1.79	5.21	3♦ S +1	♣A	B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B4-Josset-Josset
130	1.79	5.21	1♦ S +3	♣9	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B10-Lehman-Griffith

14 ♠J Dlr: East
 ♥K4 Vul: None
 ♦KT532
 ♣KJ764

♠K94 ♠T8653
 ♥T73 ♥8652
 ♦J9864 ♦-
 ♣85 ♣AQT9
 ♠AQ72
 ♥AQJ9
 ♦AQ7
 ♣32

Double Dummy Makes

11 NS: 3♣ 4♦ 3/4♥ -/1♠ 3/4NT
 4 6 ♠6/7
 19 EW: ♣4 ♦3 ♥3 ♠6 NT3

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: +430 4NT-S

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
460	6.93	0.07	3N S +2	♦6	B3-Briney-Briney v
s B1-Bedell-Stuart					
400	4.64	2.36	3N S	♦9	B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B2-Strueber-Ellis					
400	4.64	2.36	3N S	♦6	B5-Cohen-Krane vs
B5-Basel-Castleberry					
400	4.64	2.36	3N S	♦4	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
50	2.36	4.64	5♦ S -1	♣2	B1-Slater Jr-Slate
r vs B7-Joiner-Smith					
100	1.21	5.79	5N S -2	♦6	B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B4-Josset-Josset					
800	0.07	6.93	5♣* S -4	♦6	B4-Taylor-Patterso
n vs B3-Haynes-Lyon					

15 ♠QJ2 Dlr: South
 ♥T9 Vul: N-S
 ♦K7642
 ♣T62

♠973 ♠KT5
 ♥K864 ♥QJ732
 ♦Q985 ♦AT
 ♣73 ♣KJ4
 ♠A864
 ♥A5
 ♦J3
 ♣AQ985

Double Dummy Makes

6 NS: 3♣ 2♦ 2♠ 1NT ♥6
 5 14 EW: 1♥ ♣4 ♦5 ♠5/4 NT6/4
 15

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1

Par: +110 2♠-NS/3♣-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
110	6.36	0.64	3♦ N	♥J	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
110	6.36	0.64	3♣ S	♥4	B4-Taylor-Patterso
n vs B3-Haynes-Lyon					
100	4.64	2.36	3♥ E -2	♦J	B3-Briney-Briney v
s B1-Bedell-Stuart					
90	3.50	3.50	2♣ S	♥K	B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B2-Strueber-Ellis					
100	2.36	4.64	3♣ S -1	♥K	B1-Slater Jr-Slate
r vs B7-Joiner-Smith					
140	1.21	5.79	2♥ E +1	♣8	B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B4-Josset-Josset					
200	0.07	6.93	2N S -2	♥4	B5-Cohen-Krane vs
B5-Basel-Castleberry					

16 ♠T3 Dlr: West
 ♥T862 Vul: E-W
 ♦QJT2
 ♣964

♠AJ64 ♠Q9852
 ♥K97 ♥J5
 ♦654 ♦K3
 ♣AJT ♣KQ75

♠K7
 ♥AQ43
 ♦A987
 ♣832

Double Dummy Makes

3 NS: 1♥ ♣3 ♦6 ♠3 NT3
 13 11 EW: 4♠ 1/-♦ 4♣ 4/2NT
 13 ♦7/6 ♥6

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: -630 4NT-W

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	140	6.50	0.50	3♠ E	♣8 B3-Briney-Briney v s B10-Lehman-Griffith
	140	6.50	0.50	3♠ E	♣2 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B4-Josset-Josset
	170	4.50	2.50	2♠ E +2	♦A B6-Noble-Munnell v s B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
	170	4.50	2.50	2♠ E +2	♣8 B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
	200	2.50	4.50	3♠ E +2	♦A B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
	200	2.50	4.50	2♠ E +3	♦7 B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
	620	1.00	6.00	4♠ E	♣8 B2-Ward-McClung vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
	650	0.00	7.00	4♠ E +1	♥A B9-Hartel-Hartel v s B1-Bedell-Stuart

17 ♠87642 Dlr: North
 ♥A63 Vul: None
 ♦AKT
 ♣73

♠A5 ♠KT9
 ♥J5 ♥T8742
 ♦QJ965 ♦2
 ♣QT96 ♣J852

♠QJ3
 ♥KQ9
 ♦8743
 ♣AK4

Double Dummy Makes

11 NS: 2♦ 2♥ 4♣ 3NT ♠6
 10 4 EW: 1♣ ♦5 ♥5 ♠3 NT2
 15

LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1
 Par: +420 4♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	490	7.00	0.00	3N S +3	♦6 B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
	430	5.50	1.50	3N S +1	♦Q B2-Ward-McClung vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
	430	5.50	1.50	3N S +1	♦Q B6-Noble-Munnell v s B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
	170	4.00	3.00	2♠ N +2	♥4 B9-Hartel-Hartel v s B1-Bedell-Stuart
	50	1.50	5.50	3N S -1	♠A B3-Briney-Briney v s B10-Lehman-Griffith
	50	1.50	5.50	4♠ N -1	♦2 B1-Slater Jr-Slater r vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
	50	1.50	5.50	4♠ N -1	♦2 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
	50	1.50	5.50	4♠ N -1	♦2 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B4-Josset-Josset

18 ♠J972 Dlr: East
♥J942 Vul: N-S
♦987
♣52

♠KQ654 ♠A8
♥AQ ♥876
♦A42 ♦QJ63
♣J64 ♣K983

♠T3
♥KT53
♦KT5
♣AQT7

Double Dummy Makes

2 NS: ♣4 ♦4/3 ♥6 ♠4 NT4
16 10 EW: 3♠ 3♦ 1♥ 3♣ 2NT
12 ♥7/6

LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0

Par: -140 3♣-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100	7.00	0.00	0.00	3N W -2	♠2 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B4-Josset-Josset
50	6.00	1.00	1.00	3♦ E -1	♠T B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
110	5.00	2.00	2.00	3♦ E	♠T B3-Briney-Briney vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
120	3.50	3.50	3.50	2N W	♠2 B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
120	3.50	3.50	3.50	1N E +1	♣7 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
150	2.00	5.00	5.00	1N E +2	♥3 B2-Ward-McClung vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
170	1.00	6.00	6.00	2♠ W +2	♣5 B9-Hartel-Hartel vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
400	0.00	7.00	7.00	3N W	♠2 B6-Noble-Munnell vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware

19 ♠KJ32 Dlr: South
♥T6 Vul: E-W
♦J9
♣AQJT7

♠96 ♠QT87
♥Q953 ♥8742
♦K54 ♦AT86
♣9652 ♣K

♠A54
♥AKJ
♦Q732
♣843

Double Dummy Makes

12 NS: 4♣ 2♦ 1♥ 3♠ 4/3NT
5 9 EW: ♣3 ♦4 ♥6 ♠4 NT3
14

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: +430 4NT-N

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
430	6.93	0.07	0.07	3N S +1	♥3 B2-Ward-McClung vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
400	4.64	2.36	2.36	3N S	♥3 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
400	4.64	2.36	2.36	3N S	♥3 B6-Noble-Munnell vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
400	4.64	2.36	2.36	3N N	♣7 B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B4-Josset-Josset
150	1.79	5.21	5.21	2N S +1	♥5 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
150	1.79	5.21	5.21	2N S +1	♥3 B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
50	0.07	6.93	6.93	3N S -1	♥3 B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B7-Joiner-Smith

20 ♠QJ732 Dlr: West
♥652 Vul: Both
♦K2
♣QT8

♠A65 ♠K4
♥Q ♥AJ98
♦J863 ♦AT974
♣K6532 ♣97
♠T98
♥KT743
♦Q5
♣AJ4

8 Double Dummy Makes

10 12 NS: ♣4 ♦2 ♥6 ♠6 NT4

10 EW: 3♠ 5♦ 1♥ 1♠ 2NT

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: -600 5♦-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	130	5.79	1.21	3♦ E +1	♠T B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B7-Joiner-Smith
	130	5.79	1.21	3♦ E +1	♠T B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
	130	5.79	1.21	2♦ E +2	♠T B5-Cohen-Krane vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
	150	1.79	5.21	3♦ E +2	♠T B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B4-Josset-Josset
	150	1.79	5.21	3♦ E +2	♥4 B2-Ward-McClung vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
	150	1.79	5.21	3♦ E +2	♥4 B6-Noble-Munnell vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
	150	1.79	5.21	3♦ E +2	♥3 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B1-Bedell-Stuart

21 ♠T5 Dlr: North
♥KQT974 Vul: N-S
♦J2
♣A94

♠AQJ97 ♠832
♥AJ ♥853
♦A97 ♦Q84
♣K83 ♣JT52
♠K64
♥62
♦KT653
♣Q76

10 Double Dummy Makes

19 3 NS: 1/-♦ 2♥

8 EW: ♣6/5 ♦7/6 ♠5 NT6/5

EW: 1♣ 2♠ ♦6 ♥5 NT6

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: -110 2♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	150	6.93	0.07	3N W -3	♥K B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B7-Joiner-Smith
	50	5.21	1.79	2N W -1	♥9 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
	50	5.21	1.79	3♠ W -1	♥K B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B4-Josset-Josset
	110	3.50	3.50	2♠ W	♥K B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
	140	1.79	5.21	3♠ W	♥K B2-Ward-McClung vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
	140	1.79	5.21	2♠ W +1	♥K B6-Noble-Munnell vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
	180	0.07	6.93	2N W +2	♥K B5-Cohen-Krane vs B3-Haynes-Lyon

22 ♠QJ Dlr: East
 ♥KJ3 Vul: E-W
 ♦KT63
 ♣AK82

♠K53 ♠642
 ♥75 ♥QT9864
 ♦AJ4 ♦95
 ♣JT543 ♣97
 ♠AT987
 ♥A2
 ♦Q872
 ♣Q6

17 Double Dummy Makes
 9 2 NS: 4♣ 5♦ 1♥ 4♠ 5NT
 12 EW: ♣3 ♦2 ♥6 ♠2 NT2
 LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1
 Par: +460 5NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
490		7.00	0.00	3N N +3	♥T B6-Noble-Munnell v s B4-Josset-Josset
460		5.00	2.00	5N N	♥T B3-Briney-Briney v s B7-Joiner-Smith
460		5.00	2.00	3N S +2	♦4 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
460		5.00	2.00	3N N +2	♥T B5-Cohen-Krane vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
	50	2.00	5.00	6N N -1	♥T B2-Ward-McClurg vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
	50	2.00	5.00	6N N -1	♥T B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
	50	2.00	5.00	6N N -1	♥8 B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
	100	0.00	7.00	6N N -2	♥T B1-Slater Jr-Slater vs B3-Haynes-Lyon

23 ♠K6 Dlr: South
 ♥Q86 Vul: Both
 ♦6
 ♣AKJT984

♠AQJ ♠T98732
 ♥AJT7 ♥42
 ♦QJ843 ♦972
 ♣Q ♣72
 ♠54
 ♥K953
 ♦AKT5
 ♣653

13 Double Dummy Makes
 17 0 NS: 5♣ 1♦ 2♥ 4NT ♠5
 10 EW: 2♠ ♣2 ♦6 ♥4/5 NT2
 LoTT: 19 - 19 = 0
 Par: +630 4NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
600		7.00	0.00	5♣ N	♦7 B3-Briney-Briney v s B7-Joiner-Smith
150		4.50	2.50	4♣ N +1	♦2 B6-Noble-Munnell v s B4-Josset-Josset
150		4.50	2.50	3♣ N +2	♠T B1-Slater Jr-Slater r vs B3-Haynes-Lyon
150		4.50	2.50	3♣ N +2	♦9 B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
150		4.50	2.50	3♣ N +2	♦2 B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
130		2.00	5.00	2♣ N +2	♦9 B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
110		1.00	6.00	3♣ N	♦9 B5-Cohen-Krane vs B2-Strueber-Ellis
	140	0.00	7.00	3♣ E	♣6 B2-Ward-McClurg vs B5-Basel-Castleberry

24 ♠952 Dlr: West
 ♥K87 Vul: None
 ♦J42
 ♣KT86

♠T74 ♠AKQ86
 ♥A5 ♥9632
 ♦AKQ8765 ♦3
 ♣A ♣QJ5
 ♠J3
 ♥QJT4
 ♦T9
 ♣97432

7 Double Dummy Makes

17 12 NS: ♣5 ♦0 ♥4 ♠0 NT0

4 EW: 2♣ 7♦ 3♥ 7♠ 7NT

LoTT: 18 - 17 = +1

Par: -1520 7NT-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	190	6.36	0.64	4♦ W +3	♣6 B1-Slater Jr-Slater
r vs B3-Haynes-Lyon					
	190	6.36	0.64	3♦ W +4	♣8 B4-Taylor-Patterson
n vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					
	440	4.07	2.93	5♦ W +2	♦2 B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves					
	440	4.07	2.93	5♦ W +2	♠T B6-Noble-Munnell v
s B4-Josset-Josset					
	520	1.79	5.21	3N W +4	♣6 B7-Trombello-Sanders
rs vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware					
	520	1.79	5.21	3N E +4	♥4 B3-Briney-Briney v
s B7-Joiner-Smith					
	940	0.07	6.93	6♦ W +1	♠2 B2-Ward-McClung vs
B5-Basel-Castleberry					

25 ♠8532 Dlr: North
 ♥QT7 Vul: E-W
 ♦AKJ6
 ♣A2

♠AT9 ♠KQJ64
 ♥KJ93 ♥A5
 ♦987 ♦T52
 ♣J74 ♣Q53
 ♠7
 ♥8642
 ♦Q43
 ♣KT986

14 Double Dummy Makes

9 12 NS: 2♣ 2♦ 1♥ ♠5 NT6

5 EW: 1♠ 1NT ♠5 ♦5 ♥5

LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0

Par: +90 2♦-NS/2♣-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	110	6.93	0.07	3♦ N	♠K B9-Hartel-Hartel v
s B8-Seipp-Seagraves					
	100	4.64	2.36	2♠ E -1	♦3 B3-Briney-Briney v
s B5-Basel-Castleberry					
	100	4.64	2.36	2♠ E -1	♦3 B6-Noble-Munnell v
s B2-Strueber-Ellis					
	100	4.64	2.36	2♠ E -1	♦3 B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh
ev vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware					
	110	1.79	5.21	2♠ E	♦Q B7-Trombello-Sanders
rs vs B4-Josset-Josset					
	110	1.79	5.21	2♠ E	♦3 B4-Taylor-Patterson
n vs B7-Joiner-Smith					
	140	0.07	6.93	3♠ E	♦3 B10-Stokol-Stokol
vs B10-Lehman-Griffith					

26 ♠KJT7 Dlr: East
♥AJ5 Vul: Both
♦KQJ6
♣43

♠864 ♠AQ532
♥Q92 ♥763
♦952 ♦8
♣JT82 ♣AK75
♠9
♥KT84
♦AT743
♣Q96

15 Double Dummy Makes
3 13 NS: 1♣ 4♦ 4♥ 1♠ 3/4NT
9 EW: ♣6 ♦2 ♥3 ♠6 NT3

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
Par: +630 4NT-S

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
600	6.93	0.07	3N N	♣A	B3-Briney-Briney v s B5-Basel-Castleberry
150	5.79	1.21	2N N +1	♠2	B6-Noble-Munnell v s B2-Strueber-Ellis
130	4.07	2.93	3♦ S +1	♠8	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
130	4.07	2.93	3♦ S +1	♠6	B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
110	1.79	5.21	2♦ S +1	♠4	B9-Hartel-Hartel v s B8-Seipp-Seagraves
110	1.79	5.21	2♦ S +1	♦5	B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B4-Josset-Josset
100	0.07	6.93	5♦ S -1	♠2	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B7-Joiner-Smith

27 ♠A965 Dlr: South
♥K Vul: None
♦K976
♣JT75

♠- ♠J32
♥AQ9 ♥65432
♦Q832 ♦T5
♣KQ9832 ♣A64
♠KQT874
♥JT87
♦AJ4
♣-

11 Double Dummy Makes
13 5 NS: 3♦ 6♠ 2NT ♣4 ♥6
11 EW: 2♣ 1♥ ♦3 ♠1 NT3

LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1
Par: +980 6♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
480	6.93	0.07	4♠ S +2	♠K	B6-Noble-Munnell v s B2-Strueber-Ellis
450	4.07	2.93	4♠ S +1	♥A	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B7-Joiner-Smith
450	4.07	2.93	4♠ S +1	♥A	B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B4-Josset-Josset
450	4.07	2.93	4♠ S +1	♠K	B3-Briney-Briney v s B5-Basel-Castleberry
450	4.07	2.93	4♠ S +1	♠K	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
420	1.21	5.79	4♠ S	♠8	B10-Stokol-Stokol vs B10-Lehman-Griffith
200	0.07	6.93	4♥ E -4	♠4	B9-Hartel-Hartel v s B8-Seipp-Seagraves

28 ♠Q4 Dlr: West
 ♥75432 Vul: N-S
 ♦KT63
 ♣AK

♠AT82 ♠J765
 ♥J9 ♥KQ86
 ♦A754 ♦-
 ♣Q82 ♣JT764
 ♠K93
 ♥AT
 ♦QJ982
 ♣953

12 Double Dummy Makes
 11 7 NS: 4♦ 2♥ 1NT ♣4 ♠4
 10 EW: 3♣ 2♠ ♦3 ♥5 NT5

LoTT: 19 - 17 = +2
 Par: +130 4♦-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
150	6.93	0.07	1N S +2	♠2	B6-Noble-Munnell v s B3-Haynes-Lyon
130	5.79	1.21	3♦ N +1	♠5	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B7-Joiner-Smith
110	4.07	2.93	2♥ N	♣J	B3-Briney-Briney v s B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
110	4.07	2.93	3♦ S	♥J	B2-Ward-McClung vs B4-Josset-Josset
50	2.36	4.64	2♣ E -1	♥A	B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
100	1.21	5.79	3♦ S -1	♥J	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
110	0.07	6.93	2♠ E	♥A	B5-Cohen-Krane vs B1-Bedell-Stuart

29 ♠AJ93 Dlr: North
 ♥KQ7 Vul: Both
 ♦-
 ♣987642

♠QT852 ♠K74
 ♥43 ♥AJ865
 ♦J874 ♦AK2
 ♣Q5 ♣J3
 ♠6
 ♥T92
 ♦QT9653
 ♣AKT

Double Dummy Makes
 10 NS: 4♣ 1/2♦ 1♥ 1/2NT
 5 16 ♠6
 9 EW: -/1♠
 ♣1/3 ♦5 ♥5 ♠6/7 NT5

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +130 4♣-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200	6.93	0.07	3N E -2	♦T	B6-Noble-Munnell v s B3-Haynes-Lyon
180	5.79	1.21	2N N +2	♣J	B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
130	4.64	2.36	4♣ N	♦A	B8-Lemeshev-Lemesh ev vs B7-Joiner-Smith
110	3.50	3.50	3♣ N	♦A	B3-Briney-Briney v s B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
100	1.79	5.21	2♠ E -1	♣A	B2-Ward-McClung vs B4-Josset-Josset
100	1.79	5.21	2♠ E -1	♣A	B5-Cohen-Krane vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
100	0.07	6.93	2♠ N -1	♣A	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves

30

♠Q7
♥A87643
♦3
♣KT84

Dlr: East
Vul: None

♠AK52 ♠JT93
♥KQ2 ♥J9
♦AT954 ♦K62
♣6 ♣AQ93

♠864
♥T5
♦QJ87
♣J752

9 Double Dummy Makes

16 11 NS: ♣3 ♦2 ♥5 ♠2 NT2
4 EW: 3♣ 5♦ 1♥ 5♠ 4NT

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: -450 5♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
50	6.83	0.17	4♠ E -1	♥T	B5-Cohen-Krane vs B1-Bedell-Stuart
140	5.50	1.50	3♠ E	♥T	B7-Trombello-Sanders vs B5-Basel-Castleberry
420	2.83	4.17	4♠ W	♦3	B4-Taylor-Patterson vs B8-Seipp-Seagraves
420	2.83	4.17	4♠ E	♥T	B2-Ward-McClurg vs B4-Josset-Josset
420	2.83	4.17	4♠ E	♦Q	B3-Briney-Briney vs B6-D'Ambrogi-Noteware
450	0.17	6.83	4♠ E +1	♥T	B8-Lemeshev-Lemeshev vs B7-Joiner-Smith